John F P Bridges

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/10716090/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Conjoint Analysis Applications in Health—a Checklist: A Report of the ISPOR Good Research Practices for Conjoint Analysis Task Force. Value in Health, 2011, 14, 403-413.	0.3	1,342
2	Constructing Experimental Designs for Discrete-Choice Experiments: Report of the ISPOR Conjoint Analysis Experimental Design Good Research Practices Task Force. Value in Health, 2013, 16, 3-13.	0.3	1,169
3	Statistical Methods for the Analysis of Discrete Choice Experiments: A Report of the ISPOR Conjoint Analysis Good Research Practices Task Force. Value in Health, 2016, 19, 300-315.	0.3	782
4	Conjoint Analysis Applications in Health – How are Studies being Designed and Reported?. Patient, 2010, 3, 249-256.	2.7	272
5	Patient-based health technology assessment: A vision of the future. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2007, 23, 30-35.	0.5	142
6	Stated preference methods in health care evaluation: an emerging methodological paradigm in health economics. Applied Health Economics and Health Policy, 2003, 2, 213-24.	2.1	135
7	Patients' preferences for treatment outcomes for advanced non-small cell lung cancer: A conjoint analysis. Lung Cancer, 2012, 77, 224-231.	2.0	119
8	Things are Looking up Since WeÂStarted Listening to Patients. Patient, 2008, 1, 273-282.	2.7	107
9	Older Adults' Views and Communication Preferences About Cancer Screening Cessation. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2017, 177, 1121.	5.1	100
10	A Community-Engaged Approach to Quantifying Caregiver Preferences for the Benefits and Risks of Emerging Therapies for Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy. Clinical Therapeutics, 2014, 36, 624-637.	2.5	96
11	Patient Preferences for the Treatment of Type 2 Diabetes: A Scoping Review. Pharmacoeconomics, 2013, 31, 877-892.	3.3	91
12	Improving the quality of discrete-choice experiments in health: how can we assess validity and reliability?. Expert Review of Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research, 2017, 17, 531-542.	1.4	88
13	Identifying the Need for Good Practices in Health Technology Assessment: Summary of the ISPOR HTA Council Working Group Report on Good Practices in HTA. Value in Health, 2019, 22, 13-20.	0.3	76
14	Patient Preferences for Noninsulin Diabetes Medications: A Systematic Review. Diabetes Care, 2014, 37, 2055-2062.	8.6	72
15	Things are Looking up Since We Started Listening to Patients: Trends in the Application of Conjoint Analysis in Health 1982-2007. Patient, 2008, 1, 273-82.	2.7	69
16	Healthcare Rationing by Proxy. Pharmacoeconomics, 2010, 28, 175-184.	3.3	64
17	A Framework for Instrument Development of a Choice Experiment: An Application to Type 2 Diabetes. Patient, 2016, 9, 465-479.	2.7	63
18	Public Insurance and Timing of Polysomnography and Surgical Care for Children With Sleep-Disordered Breathing. JAMA Otolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery, 2015, 141, 106.	2.2	60

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Understanding Surgical Decision Making in Early Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2011, 29, 619-625.	1.6	58
20	Assessing Rationality in Discrete Choice Experiments in Health: An Investigation into the Use of Dominance Tests. Value in Health, 2018, 21, 1192-1197.	0.3	56
21	Temporal trends in populationâ€based death rates associated with chronic liver disease and liver cancer in the United States over the last 30 years. Cancer, 2014, 120, 3058-3065.	4.1	55
22	Identifying Possible Indicators of Systematic Overuse of Health Care Procedures With Claims Data. Medical Care, 2014, 52, 157-163.	2.4	51
23	Conducting a Discrete-Choice Experiment Study Following Recommendations for Good Research Practices: An Application for Eliciting Patient Preferences for Diabetes Treatments. Value in Health, 2018, 21, 59-68.	0.3	51
24	A test of concordance between patient and psychiatrist valuations of multiple treatment goals for schizophrenia. Health Expectations, 2013, 16, 164-176.	2.6	49
25	Preferences for antiviral therapy of chronic hepatitis C: a discrete choice experiment. European Journal of Health Economics, 2017, 18, 155-165.	2.8	48
26	Identifying patient-relevant endpoints among individuals with schizophrenia: An application of patient-centered health technology assessment. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2009, 25, 35-41.	0.5	45
27	Overcoming Bias in Estimating the Volume-Outcome Relationship. Health Services Research, 2006, 41, 252-264.	2.0	44
28	Caregiver Preferences for Emerging Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy Treatments: A Comparison of Best-Worst Scaling and Conjoint Analysis. Patient, 2015, 8, 19-27.	2.7	44
29	Public preferences for the return of research results in genetic research: a conjoint analysis. Genetics in Medicine, 2014, 16, 932-939.	2.4	43
30	Clarifying Values: An Updated and Expanded Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Medical Decision Making, 2021, 41, 801-820.	2.4	43
31	How a patient advocacy group developed the first proposed draft guidance document for industry for submission to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Orphanet Journal of Rare Diseases, 2015, 10, 82.	2.7	39
32	<p>Analysis of Patient Preferences in Lung Cancer – Estimating Acceptable Tradeoffs Between Treatment Benefit and Side Effects</p> . Patient Preference and Adherence, 2020, Volume 14, 927-937.	1.8	39
33	Patientâ€centered benefit–risk assessment in duchenne muscular dystrophy. Muscle and Nerve, 2017, 55, 626-634.	2.2	38
34	Using Best-Worst Scaling to Understand Patient Priorities: A Case Example of Papanicolaou Tests for Homeless Women. Annals of Family Medicine, 2016, 14, 359-364.	1.9	37
35	Developing a Patient-Centered Benefit-Risk Survey: A Community-Engaged Process. Value in Health, 2016, 19, 751-757.	0.3	37
36	Can Patients Diagnosed with Schizophrenia Complete Choice-Based Conjoint Analysis Tasks?. Patient, 2011, 4, 267-275.	2.7	36

#	Article	lF	CITATIONS
37	Patient Preferences for Injectable Treatments for Multiple Sclerosis in the United States: A Discrete-Choice Experiment. Patient, 2016, 9, 171-180.	2.7	35
38	Patient and caregiver preferences for the potential benefits and risks of a seizure forecasting device: A best–worst scaling. Epilepsy and Behavior, 2019, 96, 183-191.	1.7	35
39	USING BEST-WORST SCALING IN HORIZON SCANNING FOR HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA TECHNOLOGIES. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2012, 28, 339-346.	0.5	34
40	Condom avoidance and determinants of demand for male circumcision in Johannesburg, South Africa. Health Policy and Planning, 2011, 26, 298-306.	2.7	33
41	An Index for Measuring Overuse of Health Care Resources With Medicare Claims. Medical Care, 2015, 53, 230-236.	2.4	33
42	Side effects from acute myeloid leukemia treatment: results from a national survey. Current Medical Research and Opinion, 2019, 35, 1965-1970.	1.9	33
43	Comparison of four contingent valuation methods to estimate the economic value of a pneumococcal vaccine in Bangladesh. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2008, 24, 481-487.	0.5	32
44	Future Challenges for the Economic Evaluation of Healthcare. Pharmacoeconomics, 2005, 23, 317-321.	3.3	31
45	Older Adults' Preferences for Discussing Long-Term Life Expectancy: Results From a National Survey. Annals of Family Medicine, 2018, 16, 530-537.	1.9	31
46	Assessing the impact of palliative care in the intensive care unit through the lens of patient-centered outcomes research. Current Opinion in Critical Care, 2013, 19, 504-510.	3.2	30
47	An Environmental Scan of Advance Care Planning Decision Aids for Patients Undergoing Major Surgery: A Study Protocol. Patient, 2014, 7, 207-217.	2.7	29
48	Patient preferences for treating refractory overactive bladder in the UK. International Urology and Nephrology, 2015, 47, 1619-1627.	1.4	29
49	Patients' Preferences Related to Benefits, Risks, and Formulations of Schizophrenia Treatment. Psychiatric Services, 2015, 66, 719-726.	2.0	28
50	A discrete-choice experiment to determine patient preferences for injectable multiple sclerosis treatments in Germany. Therapeutic Advances in Neurological Disorders, 2016, 9, 95-104.	3.5	28
51	Consumer Preferences for Hearing Aid Attributes. Trends in Amplification, 2012, 16, 40-48.	2.4	27
52	Parents' Views on the Best and Worst Reasons for Guideline-Consistent HPV Vaccination. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2018, 27, 762-767.	2.5	27
53	Preferred Clinician Communication About Stopping Cancer Screening Among Older US Adults. JAMA Oncology, 2018, 4, 1126.	7.1	26
54	Identifying important breast cancer control strategies in Asia, Latin America and the Middle East/North Africa. BMC Health Services Research, 2011, 11, 227.	2.2	25

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Eliciting Preferences for HIV Prevention Technologies: A Systematic Review. Patient, 2021, 14, 151-174.	2.7	25
56	Lean Systems Approaches to Health Technology Assessment. Pharmacoeconomics, 2006, 24, 101-109.	3.3	23
57	Influence of Nonclinical Factors on Choice of Therapy for Early Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2013, 20, 448-456.	1.5	23
58	A Best-Worst Scaling Experiment to Prioritize Caregiver Concerns About ADHD Medication for Children. Psychiatric Services, 2015, 66, 208-211.	2.0	23
59	Promoting perioperative advance care planning: a systematic review of advance care planning decision aids. Journal of Comparative Effectiveness Research, 2015, 4, 615-650.	1.4	23
60	Checkpoint inhibitor immunotherapy toxicity and overall survival among older adults with advanced cancer. Journal of Geriatric Oncology, 2021, 12, 813-819.	1.0	23
61	Understanding the Experience of Age-Related Vestibular Loss in Older Individuals: A Qualitative Study. Patient, 2016, 9, 303-309.	2.7	22
62	Developing an instrument to assess patient preferences for benefits and risks of treating acute myeloid leukemia to promote patient-focused drug development. Current Medical Research and Opinion, 2018, 34, 2031-2039.	1.9	22
63	Accounting for Tastes. Pharmacoeconomics, 2006, 24, 419-423.	3.3	21
64	Syringe Service Program Utilization, Barriers, and Preferences for Design in Rural Appalachia: Differences between Men and Women Who Inject Drugs. Substance Use and Misuse, 2020, 55, 2268-2277.	1.4	21
65	Age at Diagnosis and Patient Preferences for Treatment Outcomes in AML: A Discrete Choice Experiment to Explore Meaningful Benefits. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2020, 29, 942-948.	2.5	21
66	Patients??? Preferences for Healthcare System Reforms in Hungary. Applied Health Economics and Health Policy, 2006, 5, 189-198.	2.1	20
67	Identifying the Benefits and Risks of Emerging Treatments for Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis: A Qualitative Study. Patient, 2015, 8, 85-92.	2.7	20
68	Regional Supply of Medical Resources and Systemic Overuse of Health Care Among Medicare Beneficiaries. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2018, 33, 2127-2131.	2.6	20
69	Using Best–Worst Scaling to Measure Caregiver Preferences for Managing their Child's ADHD: A Pilot Study. Patient, 2015, 8, 423-431.	2.7	19
70	Perceived Barriers and Potential Strategies to Improve Self-Management Among Adults with Type 2 Diabetes: A Community-Engaged Research Approach. Patient, 2016, 9, 349-358.	2.7	19
71	Integrating Advance Care Planning Videos into Surgical Oncologic Care: A Randomized Clinical Trial. Journal of Palliative Medicine, 2019, 22, 764-772.	1.1	19
72	Engaging patients and caregivers in prioritizing symptoms impacting quality of life for Duchenne and Becker muscular dystrophy. Quality of Life Research, 2018, 27, 2261-2273.	3.1	19

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Measuring the Preferences of Homeless Women for Cervical Cancer Screening Interventions: Development of a Best–Worst Scaling Survey. Patient, 2015, 8, 455-467.	2.7	18
74	Family companions' involvement during pre-surgical consent visits for major cancer surgery and its relationship to visit communication and satisfaction. Patient Education and Counseling, 2018, 101, 1066-1074.	2.2	18
75	Loss of Communityâ€Dwelling Status Among Survivors of Highâ€Acuity Emergency General Surgery Disease. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 2019, 67, 2289-2297.	2.6	18
76	Assessing the Appropriateness of the EQ-5D for Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy: A Patient-Centered Study. Medical Decision Making, 2021, 41, 209-221.	2.4	18
77	International experience with comparative effectiveness research: Case studies from England/Wales and Germany. Advances in Health Economics and Health Services Research, 2010, 22, 29-50.	0.2	17
78	Identifying and prioritizing strategies for comprehensive liver cancer control in Asia. BMC Health Services Research, 2011, 11, 298.	2.2	17
79	A Latent Class Analysis to Identify Variation in Caregivers' Preferences for their Child's Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Treatment: Do Stated Preferences Match Current Treatment?. Patient, 2017, 10, 251-262.	2.7	17
80	Caregiver Treatment Preferences for Children with a New Versus Existing Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Diagnosis. Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychopharmacology, 2017, 27, 234-242.	1.3	17
81	Patient Perceptions of Diabetes Guideline Frameworks for Individualizing Glycemic Targets. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2019, 179, 1642.	5.1	17
82	Demographic, health, and attitudinal factors predictive of cancer screening decisions in older adults. Preventive Medicine Reports, 2019, 13, 244-248.	1.8	17
83	Caregiver priorities for endpoints to evaluate treatments for Prader-Willi syndrome: a best–worst scaling. Journal of Medical Economics, 2018, 21, 1230-1237.	2.1	16
84	The Role of The Patient in Promoting Patient-Centered Outcomes Research. Patient, 2008, 1, 1-3.	2.7	15
85	Decision Making about Cancer Screening: An Assessment of the State of the Science and a Suggested Research Agenda from the ASPO Behavioral Oncology and Cancer Communication Special Interest Group. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2009, 18, 3133-3137.	2.5	15
86	A Comparison of Two Experimental Design Approaches in Applying Conjoint Analysis in Patient-Centered Outcomes Research. Patient, 2012, 5, 279-294.	2.7	15
87	Household Size and the Decision to Purchase Health Insurance in Cambodia: Results of a Discrete-Choice Experiment with Scale Adjustment. Applied Health Economics and Health Policy, 2016, 14, 195-204.	2.1	15
88	Best–Worst Scaling and the Prioritization of Objects in Health: A Systematic Review. Pharmacoeconomics, 2022, 40, 883-899.	3.3	15
89	Prioritizing strategies for comprehensive liver cancer control in Asia: a conjoint analysis. BMC Health Services Research, 2012, 12, 376.	2.2	14
90	Prioritizing the worries of AML patients: Quantifying patient experience using best–worst scaling. Psycho-Oncology, 2021, 30, 1104-1111.	2.3	14

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
91	Needs for hepatocellular carcinoma control policy in the Asia-Pacific region. Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention, 2011, 12, 2585-91.	1.2	14
92	Hospitalized Women's Willingness to Pay for an Inpatient Screening Mammogram. Annals of Family Medicine, 2014, 12, 556-558.	1.9	13
93	Why don't end-of-life conversations go viral? A review of videos on YouTube. BMJ Supportive and Palliative Care, 2017, 7, 197-204.	1.6	13
94	Identifying and Prioritizing the Barriers and Facilitators to the Self-Management of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Community-Centered Approach. Patient, 2017, 10, 773-783.	2.7	12
95	Utilising advance care planning videos to empower perioperative cancer patients and families: a study protocol of a randomised controlled trial. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e016257.	1.9	12
96	A review of paper-based advance care planning aids. BMC Palliative Care, 2018, 17, 54.	1.8	12
97	Advancing the Use of Patient Preference Information as Scientific Evidence in Medical Product Evaluation: A Summary Report of the Patient Preference Workshop. Patient, 2019, 12, 553-557.	2.7	12
98	Exploring variation in parental worries about HPV vaccination: a latent-class analysis. Human Vaccines and Immunotherapeutics, 2019, 15, 1745-1751.	3.3	12
99	How Do Members of the Duchenne and Becker Muscular Dystrophy Community Perceive a Discrete-Choice Experiment Incorporating Uncertain Treatment Benefit? An Application of Research as an Event. Patient, 2019, 12, 247-257.	2.7	12
100	Understanding Consumer Preferences in the Context of Managed Competition. Applied Health Economics and Health Policy, 2012, 10, 99-111.	2.1	11
101	Project Transform: engaging patient advocates to share their perspectives on improving research, treatment and policy. Current Medical Research and Opinion, 2018, 34, 1755-1762.	1.9	11
102	Maternal priorities for preventive therapy among <scp>HIV</scp> â€positive pregnant women before and after delivery in South Africa: aÂbest‑'worst scaling survey. Journal of the International AIDS Society, 2018, 21, e25143.	3.0	11
103	How Do Older Adults Consider Age, Life Expectancy, Quality of Life, and Physician Recommendations When Making Cancer Screening Decisions? Results from a National Survey Using a Discrete Choice Experiment. Medical Decision Making, 2019, 39, 621-631.	2.4	11
104	Expansion of the Liver Donor Supply Through Greater Use of Split‣iver Transplantation: Identifying Optimal Recipients. Liver Transplantation, 2019, 25, 119-127.	2.4	11
105	Designing Family-Centered Male Circumcision Services. Patient, 2012, 5, 101-111.	2.7	10
106	Factors impacting physicians' decisions to prevent variceal hemorrhage. BMC Gastroenterology, 2015, 15, 55.	2.0	10
107	Developing the Storyline for an Advance Care Planning Video for Surgery Patients: Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Engagement from Stakeholder Summit to State Fair. Journal of Palliative Medicine, 2018, 21, 89-94.	1.1	10
108	Methods for measuring patient preferences: an update and future directions. Current Opinion in Rheumatology, 2019, 31, 125-131.	4.3	10

7

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
109	Clinical Decision-Making by Gastroenterologists and Hepatologists for Patients with Early Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2014, 21, 1844-1851.	1.5	9
110	Use of best-worst scaling to assess patient perceptions of treatments for refractory overactive bladder. Neurourology and Urodynamics, 2016, 35, 1028-1033.	1.5	9
111	Identifying the benefits and risks of emerging integration methods for upper limb prosthetic devices in the United States: an environmental scan. Expert Review of Medical Devices, 2019, 16, 631-641.	2.8	9
112	Prioritizing Outcome Preferences in Patients with Ocular Hypertension and Open-Angle Glaucoma Using Best–Worst Scaling. Ophthalmology Glaucoma, 2019, 2, 367-373.	1.9	9
113	Comparison of Individual and Group Valuation of Health State Scenarios across Communities in West Africa. Applied Health Economics and Health Policy, 2006, 5, 261-268.	2.1	8
114	Determinants of influenza vaccine purchasing decision in the US: A conjoint analysis. Vaccine, 2011, 29, 1443-1447.	3.8	8
115	Variation in Treatment Priorities for Chronic Hepatitis C: A Latent Class Analysis. Patient, 2016, 9, 241-249.	2.7	8
116	Development of a stated-preference instrument to prioritize treatment goals in recent onset schizophrenia. Current Medical Research and Opinion, 2017, 33, 2129-2136.	1.9	8
117	Art and Science of Instrument Development for Stated-Preference Methods. Patient, 2017, 10, 377-379.	2.7	8
118	An advance care plan decision support video before major surgery: a patient- and family-centred approach. BMJ Supportive and Palliative Care, 2018, 8, 229-236.	1.6	8
119	Maternal Motivation to Take Preventive Therapy in Antepartum and Postpartum Among HIV-Positive Pregnant Women in South Africa: A Choice Experiment. AIDS and Behavior, 2019, 23, 1689-1697.	2.7	8
120	Comparing Patient Preferences for Antithrombotic Treatment During the Acute and Chronic Phases of Myocardial Infarction: A Discrete-Choice Experiment. Patient, 2022, 15, 255-266.	2.7	8
121	A Wolf Dressed in Sheep???s Clothing. Applied Health Economics and Health Policy, 2005, 4, 55-64.	2.1	7
122	Psychiatrists' Judgments About Antipsychotic Benefit and Risk Outcomes and Formulation in Schizophrenia Treatment. Psychiatric Services, 2014, 65, 1133-1139.	2.0	7
123	Parents' preferences for interventions to improve childhood immunization uptake in northern Nigeria. Vaccine, 2018, 36, 2833-2841.	3.8	7
124	Challenges to informed consent for exome sequencing: A best–worst scaling experiment. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2019, 28, 1189-1197.	1.6	7
125	What outcomes should US policy makers compare in comparative effectiveness research?. Expert Review of Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research, 2010, 10, 217-220.	1.4	6
126	Individualized cost-effectiveness analysis of patient-centered care: a case series of hospitalized patient preferences departing from practice-based guidelines. Journal of Medical Economics, 2017, 20, 288-296.	2.1	6

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
127	Examining Generalizability of Older Adults' Preferences for Discussing Cessation of Screening Colonoscopies in Older Adults with Low Health Literacy. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2019, 34, 2512-2519.	2.6	6
128	Comparing the Preferences of Patients and the General Public for Treatment Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Patient, 2021, 14, 89-100.	2.7	6
129	Assessment of Fall-Related Emergency Medical Service Calls and Transports after a Community-Level Fall-Prevention Initiative. Prehospital Emergency Care, 2022, 26, 410-421.	1.8	6
130	A Comparison of Caregiver and Patient Preferences for Treating Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy. Patient, 2022, 15, 577-588.	2.7	6
131	Caregivers' Priorities and Observed Outcomes of Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Medication for Their Children. Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics, 2018, 39, 93-100.	1.1	5
132	The effect of partner HIV status on motivation to take antiretroviral and isoniazid preventive therapies: a conjoint analysis. AIDS Care - Psychological and Socio-Medical Aspects of AIDS/HIV, 2018, 30, 1298-1305.	1.2	5
133	Line of therapy and patient preferences regarding lung cancer treatment: a discrete-choice experiment. Current Medical Research and Opinion, 2021, 37, 643-653.	1.9	5
134	Quantifying the Burden of Hyperphagia in Prader-Willi Syndrome Using Quality-Adjusted Life-years. Clinical Therapeutics, 2021, 43, 1164-1178.e4.	2.5	5
135	Measuring Meaningful Benefit-Risk Tradeoffs to Promote Patient-Focused Drug Development in Prader-Willi Syndrome: A Discrete-Choice Experiment. MDM Policy and Practice, 2021, 6, 238146832110394.	0.9	5
136	A best–worst scaling experiment to prioritize concern about ethical issues in citizen science reveals heterogeneity on people-level v. data-level issues. Scientific Reports, 2021, 11, 19119.	3.3	5
137	Honoring Pioneers in Patient-Centered Outcomes Research. Patient, 2008, 1, 5-6.	2.7	4
138	Stakeholder perspectives on European priorities for comprehensive liver cancer control: a conjoint analysis. European Journal of Public Health, 2013, 23, 951-957.	0.3	4
139	An international comparison of stakeholder motivation to implement liver cancer control. Health Policy and Planning, 2015, 30, 645-655.	2.7	4
140	Improving End-of-Life Decision-Making About Resuscitation and Intubation. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2015, 30, 1049-1050.	2.6	4
141	Parent clinical trial priorities for fragile X syndrome: a best–worst scaling. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2021, 29, 1245-1251.	2.8	4
142	Andrew lloyd: a driving force in patient-centered outcomes research. Patient, 2008, 1, 259-63.	2.7	3
143	<p>Collecting patient preference information using a Clinical Data Research Network: demonstrating feasibility with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis</p> . Patient Preference and Adherence, 2019, Volume 13, 795-804.	1.8	2
144	Patient preferences for reducing bowel adverse events following prostate radiotherapy. PLoS ONE, 2020, 15, e0235616.	2.5	2

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
145	Unmet Therapeutic Needs of Non-Ambulatory Patients with Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy: A Mixed-Method Analysis. Therapeutic Innovation and Regulatory Science, 2022, 56, 572-586.	1.6	2
146	Andrew Lloyd. Patient, 2008, 1, 259-263.	2.7	1
147	Do people have differing motivations for participating in a stated-preference study? Results from a latent-class analysis. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 2021, 21, 44.	3.0	1
148	Discussion: Research to Promote Patient-Based HTA. , 2017, , 225-233.		1
149	Authors' Response to Comment on "Assessing the Appropriateness of the EQ-5D for Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy: A Patient-Centered Study― Medical Decision Making, 2021, , 0272989X2110622.	2.4	1