Daniel Conroy-Beam

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/10598005/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

28 papers 1,502 citations

430874 18 h-index 25 g-index

30 all docs 30 docs citations

30 times ranked

1029 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Universality of the Triangular Theory of Love: Adaptation and Psychometric Properties of the Triangular Love Scale in 25 Countries. Journal of Sex Research, 2021, 58, 106-115.	2.5	31
2	Mate Preferences. , 2021, , 4850-4860.		2
3	Couple Simulation: A Novel Approach for Evaluating Models of Human Mate Choice. Personality and Social Psychology Review, 2021, 25, 191-228.	6.0	11
4	Sex differences in human mate preferences vary across sex ratios. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2021, 288, 20211115.	2.6	18
5	Sex Differences in Mate Preferences Across 45 Countries: A Large-Scale Replication. Psychological Science, 2020, 31, 408-423.	3.3	166
6	First tests of Euclidean preference integration in friendship: Euclidean friend value and power of choice on the friend market. Evolution and Human Behavior, 2020, 41, 188-198.	2.2	13
7	Reasons for Facebook Usage: Data From 46 Countries. Frontiers in Psychology, 2020, 11, 711.	2.1	17
8	Human Mate Selection. , 2020, , 353-365.		2
9	Contrasting Computational Models of Mate Preference Integration Across 45 Countries. Scientific Reports, 2019, 9, 16885.	3.3	38
10	Assortative mating and the evolution of desirability covariation. Evolution and Human Behavior, 2019, 40, 479-491.	2.2	36
11	You're Only Jung Once: Building Generalized Motivational Systems Theories Using Contemporary Research on Language. Psychological Inquiry, 2019, 30, 93-98.	0.9	8
12	Evolutionary Mismatch in Mating. Frontiers in Psychology, 2019, 10, 2709.	2.1	26
13	Why is age so important in human mating? Evolved age preferences and their influences on multiple mating behaviors Evolutionary Behavioral Sciences, 2019, 13, 127-157.	0.8	56
14	Euclidean Mate Value and Power of Choice on the Mating Market. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 2018, 44, 252-264.	3.0	17
15	Euclidean distances discriminatively predict short-term and long-term attraction to potential mates. Evolution and Human Behavior, 2017, 38, 442-450.	2.2	26
16	Evolutionary psychology: A how-to guide American Psychologist, 2017, 72, 353-373.	4.2	193
17	The mate switching hypothesis. Personality and Individual Differences, 2017, 104, 143-149.	2.9	176
18	How Are Mate Preferences Linked with Actual Mate Selection? Tests of Mate Preference Integration Algorithms Using Computer Simulations and Actual Mating Couples. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0156078.	2.5	26

#	Article	IF	CITATION
19	What predicts romantic relationship satisfaction and mate retention intensity: mate preference fulfillment or mate value discrepancies?. Evolution and Human Behavior, 2016, 37, 440-448.	2.2	72
20	Do mate preferences influence actual mating decisions? Evidence from computer simulations and three studies of mated couples Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 2016, 111, 53-66.	2.8	47
21	Human Emotions: An Evolutionary Psychological Perspective. Emotion Review, 2016, 8, 173-186.	3.4	145
22	Mate preferences in Brazil: Evolved desires and cultural evolution over three decades. Personality and Individual Differences, 2016, 95, 45-49.	2.9	92
23	Mate Preferences. , 2016, , 1-11.		6
24	How Sexually Dimorphic Are Human Mate Preferences?. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 2015, 41, 1082-1093.	3.0	144
25	Why Do Humans Form Long-Term Mateships? An Evolutionary Game-Theoretic Model. Advances in Experimental Social Psychology, 2015, 51, 1-39.	3.3	56
26	A deeper integration of Selfish Goal Theory and modern evolutionary psychology. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 2014, 37, 140-141.	0.7	1
27	Friends with benefits II: Mating activation in opposite-sex friendships as a function of sociosexual orientation and relationship status. Personality and Individual Differences, 2012, 53, 622-628.	2.9	22
28	Friends with Benefits: The Evolved Psychology of Same- and Opposite-Sex Friendship. Evolutionary Psychology, 2011, 9, 543-563.	0.9	55