

Daniel Conroy-Beam

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/10598005/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

28
papers

1,502
citations

430874

18
h-index

580821

25
g-index

30
all docs

30
docs citations

30
times ranked

1029
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Evolutionary psychology: A how-to guide.. American Psychologist, 2017, 72, 353-373.	4.2	193
2	The mate switching hypothesis. Personality and Individual Differences, 2017, 104, 143-149.	2.9	176
3	Sex Differences in Mate Preferences Across 45 Countries: A Large-Scale Replication. Psychological Science, 2020, 31, 408-423.	3.3	166
4	Human Emotions: An Evolutionary Psychological Perspective. Emotion Review, 2016, 8, 173-186.	3.4	145
5	How Sexually Dimorphic Are Human Mate Preferences?. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 2015, 41, 1082-1093.	3.0	144
6	Mate preferences in Brazil: Evolved desires and cultural evolution over three decades. Personality and Individual Differences, 2016, 95, 45-49.	2.9	92
7	What predicts romantic relationship satisfaction and mate retention intensity: mate preference fulfillment or mate value discrepancies?. Evolution and Human Behavior, 2016, 37, 440-448.	2.2	72
8	Why Do Humans Form Long-Term Mateships? An Evolutionary Game-Theoretic Model. Advances in Experimental Social Psychology, 2015, 51, 1-39.	3.3	56
9	Why is age so important in human mating? Evolved age preferences and their influences on multiple mating behaviors.. Evolutionary Behavioral Sciences, 2019, 13, 127-157.	0.8	56
10	Friends with Benefits: The Evolved Psychology of Same- and Opposite-Sex Friendship. Evolutionary Psychology, 2011, 9, 543-563.	0.9	55
11	Do mate preferences influence actual mating decisions? Evidence from computer simulations and three studies of mated couples.. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 2016, 111, 53-66.	2.8	47
12	Contrasting Computational Models of Mate Preference Integration Across 45 Countries. Scientific Reports, 2019, 9, 16885.	3.3	38
13	Assortative mating and the evolution of desirability covariation. Evolution and Human Behavior, 2019, 40, 479-491.	2.2	36
14	Universality of the Triangular Theory of Love: Adaptation and Psychometric Properties of the Triangular Love Scale in 25 Countries. Journal of Sex Research, 2021, 58, 106-115.	2.5	31
15	How Are Mate Preferences Linked with Actual Mate Selection? Tests of Mate Preference Integration Algorithms Using Computer Simulations and Actual Mating Couples. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0156078.	2.5	26
16	Euclidean distances discriminatively predict short-term and long-term attraction to potential mates. Evolution and Human Behavior, 2017, 38, 442-450.	2.2	26
17	Evolutionary Mismatch in Mating. Frontiers in Psychology, 2019, 10, 2709.	2.1	26
18	Friends with benefits II: Mating activation in opposite-sex friendships as a function of sociosexual orientation and relationship status. Personality and Individual Differences, 2012, 53, 622-628.	2.9	22

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Sex differences in human mate preferences vary across sex ratios. <i>Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences</i> , 2021, 288, 202111115.	2.6	18
20	Euclidean Mate Value and Power of Choice on the Mating Market. <i>Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin</i> , 2018, 44, 252-264.	3.0	17
21	Reasons for Facebook Usage: Data From 46 Countries. <i>Frontiers in Psychology</i> , 2020, 11, 711.	2.1	17
22	First tests of Euclidean preference integration in friendship: Euclidean friend value and power of choice on the friend market. <i>Evolution and Human Behavior</i> , 2020, 41, 188-198.	2.2	13
23	Couple Simulation: A Novel Approach for Evaluating Models of Human Mate Choice. <i>Personality and Social Psychology Review</i> , 2021, 25, 191-228.	6.0	11
24	You're Only Jung Once: Building Generalized Motivational Systems Theories Using Contemporary Research on Language. <i>Psychological Inquiry</i> , 2019, 30, 93-98.	0.9	8
25	Mate Preferences. , 2016, , 1-11.		6
26	Human Mate Selection. , 2020, , 353-365.		2
27	Mate Preferences. , 2021, , 4850-4860.		2
28	A deeper integration of Selfish Goal Theory and modern evolutionary psychology. <i>Behavioral and Brain Sciences</i> , 2014, 37, 140-141.	0.7	1