Celine Lewis

List of Publications by Year
in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/1038078/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

66 papers	1,844 citations	279701 23 h-index	289141 40 g-index
67 all docs	67 docs citations	67 times ranked	1832 citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Genetic education and the challenge of genomic medicine: development of core competences to support preparation of health professionals in Europe. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2010, 18, 972-977.	1.4	141
2	Global perspectives on clinical adoption of NIPT. Prenatal Diagnosis, 2015, 35, 959-967.	1.1	119
3	Uptake, outcomes, and costs of implementing non-invasive prenatal testing for Downâ€™s syndrome into NHS maternity care: prospective cohort study in eight diverse maternity units. BMJ, The, 2016, 354, i3426.	3.0	115
4	Non-invasive prenatal diagnosis for fetal sex determination: benefits and disadvantages from the service usersâ€™ perspective. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2012, 20, 1127-1133.	1.4	74
5	Delivering an accredited nonâ€invasive prenatal diagnosis service for monogenic disorders and recommendations for best practice. Prenatal Diagnosis, 2018, 38, 44-51.	1.1	73
6	Evaluation of non-invasive prenatal testing (NIPT) for aneuploidy in an NHS setting: a reliable accurate prenatal non-invasive diagnosis (RAPID) protocol. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 2014, 14, 229.	0.9	72
7	Womenâ€™s Experiences and Preferences for Service Delivery of Non-Invasive Prenatal Testing for Aneuploidy in a Public Health Setting: A Mixed Methods Study. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0153147.	1.1	63
8	What hinders minority ethnic access to cancer genetics services and what may help?. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2014, 22, 866-874.	1.4	61
9	Development and validation of a measure of informed choice for women undergoing non-invasive prenatal testing for aneuploidy. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2016, 24, 809-816.	1.4	60
10	Living Without a Diagnosis: The Parental Experience. Genetic Testing and Molecular Biomarkers, 2010, 14, 807-815.	0.3	55
11	Fetal sex determination using cellâ€free fetal DNA: service users' experiences of and preferences for service delivery. Prenatal Diagnosis, 2012, 32, 735-741.	1.1	53
12	Has noninvasive prenatal testing impacted termination of pregnancy and live birth rates of infants with <scp>Down</scp> syndrome?. Prenatal Diagnosis, 2017, 37, 1281-1290.	1.1	51
13	Views and preferences for the implementation of nonâ€invasive prenatal diagnosis for single gene disorders from health professionals in the united kingdom. American Journal of Medical Genetics, Part A, 2013, 161, 1612-1618.	0.7	47
14	Offering prenatal diagnostic tests: European guidelines for clinical practice. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2014, 22, 580-586.	1.4	47
15	Client Views and Attitudes to Nonâ€invasive Prenatal Diagnosis for Sickle Cell Disease, Thalassaemia and Cystic Fibrosis. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2014, 23, 1012-1021.	0.9	44
16	Non-invasive prenatal testing for single gene disorders: exploring the ethics. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2013, 21, 713-718.	1.4	43
17	Offering nonâ€invasive prenatal testing as part of routine clinical service. Can high levels of informed choice be maintained?. Prenatal Diagnosis, 2017, 37, 1130-1137.	1.1	40
18	â€œWe might get a lot more families who will agreeâ€: Muslim and Jewish perspectives on less invasive perinatal and paediatric autopsy. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0202023.	1.1	38

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Can We Make Assumptions About the Psychosocial Impact of Living as a Carrier, Based on Studies Assessing the Effects of Carrier Testing?. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2011, 20, 80-97.	0.9	35
20	A qualitative study looking at informed choice in the context of nonâ€invasive prenatal testing for aneuploidy. Prenatal Diagnosis, 2016, 36, 875-881.	1.1	33
21	Health professionalsâ€™ and coronersâ€™ views on less invasive perinatal and paediatric autopsy: a qualitative study. Archives of Disease in Childhood, 2018, 103, 572-578.	1.0	32
22	Delivering genome sequencing in clinical practice: an interview study with healthcare professionals involved in the 100 000 Genomes Project. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e029699.	0.8	30
23	Parentsâ€™ motivations, concerns and understanding of genome sequencing: a qualitative interview study. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2020, 28, 874-884.	1.4	30
24	Delivering genome sequencing for rapid genetic diagnosis in critically ill children: parent and professional views, experiences and challenges. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2020, 28, 1529-1540.	1.4	29
25	Nonâ€invasive prenatal testing for aneuploidy: a systematic review of Internet advertising to potential users by commercial companies and private health providers. Prenatal Diagnosis, 2015, 35, 1167-1175.	1.1	27
26	Points to consider for prioritizing clinical genetic testing services: a European consensus process oriented at accountability for reasonableness. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2015, 23, 729-735.	1.4	26
27	Couples experiences of receiving uncertain results following prenatal microarray or exome sequencing: A mixedâ€methods systematic review. Prenatal Diagnosis, 2020, 40, 1028-1039.	1.1	25
28	An assessment of written patient information provided at the genetic clinic and relating to genetic testing in seven European countries. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2007, 15, 1012-1022.	1.4	23
29	â€˜Hope for safe prenatal gene testsâ€™. A content analysis of how the UK press media are reporting advances in nonâ€invasive prenatal testing. Prenatal Diagnosis, 2015, 35, 420-427.	1.1	20
30	Development and evaluation of training resources to prepare health professionals for counselling pregnant women about non-invasive prenatal testing for Down syndrome: a mixed methods study. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 2017, 17, 132.	0.9	20
31	Parental experiences of uncertainty following an abnormal fetal anomaly scan: Insights using Hanâ€™s taxonomy of uncertainty. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2021, 30, 198-210.	0.9	20
32	Reproductive empowerment: The main motivator and outcome of carrier testing. Journal of Health Psychology, 2012, 17, 567-578.	1.3	19
33	"It's probably nothing, butâ€¦" Couples' experiences of pregnancy following an uncertain prenatal genetic result. Acta Obstetricia Et Gynecologica Scandinavica, 2020, 99, 791-801.	1.3	18
34	Clinical, social and ethical issues associated with non-invasive prenatal testing for aneuploidy. Journal of Psychosomatic Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2018, 39, 11-18.	1.1	17
35	Minimally invasive autopsy for fetuses and children based on a combination of post-mortem MRI and endoscopic examination: a feasibility study. Health Technology Assessment, 2019, 23, 1-104.	1.3	16
36	Development of the Knowledge of Genome Sequencing (KOGS) questionnaire. Patient Education and Counseling, 2018, 101, 1966-1972.	1.0	15

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Stakeholder views and attitudes towards prenatal and postnatal transplantation of fetal mesenchymal stem cells to treat Osteogenesis Imperfecta. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2019, 27, 1244-1253.	1.4	15
38	Opening the Ìblack boxÌ‹ of informed consent appointments for genome sequencing: a multisite observational study. Genetics in Medicine, 2019, 21, 1083-1091.	1.1	15
39	How to deal with uncertainty in prenatal genomics: A systematic review of guidelines and policies. Clinical Genetics, 2021, 100, 647-658.	1.0	15
40	Genetic testing and counselling in Europe: health professionals current educational provision, needs assessment and potential strategies for the future. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2007, 15, 1203-1204.	1.4	14
41	Young people's understanding, attitudes and involvement in decision-making about genome sequencing for rare diseases: A qualitative study with participants in the UK 100, 000 Genomes Project. European Journal of Medical Genetics, 2020, 63, 104043.	0.7	13
42	Dealing with uncertain results from chromosomal microarray and exome sequencing in the prenatal setting: An international crossâ€sectional study with healthcare professionals. Prenatal Diagnosis, 2021, 41, 720-732.	1.1	13
43	Stakeholder attitudes and needs regarding cell-free fetal DNA testing. Current Opinion in Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2016, 28, 125-131.	0.9	12
44	Improving uptake of perinatal autopsy. Current Opinion in Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2021, 33, 129-134.	0.9	12
45	Nonâ€invasive Prenatal Diagnosis for BRCA Mutations â€“ a Qualitative Pilot Study of Health Professionalsâ€™ Views. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2016, 25, 198-207.	0.9	11
46	Exploring the impact of Osteogenesis Imperfecta on families: A mixed-methods systematic review. Disability and Health Journal, 2019, 12, 340-349.	1.6	10
47	Development and mixed-methods evaluation of an online animation for young people about genome sequencing. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2020, 28, 896-906.	1.4	10
48	Participant experiences of genome sequencing for rare diseases in the 100,000 Genomes Project: a mixed methods study. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2022, 30, 604-610.	1.4	10
49	A pragmatic evidence-based approach to post-mortem perinatal imaging. Insights Into Imaging, 2021, 12, 101.	1.6	7
50	Decision-making, attitudes, and understanding among patients and relatives invited to undergo genome sequencing in the 100,000 Genomes Project: A multisite survey study. Genetics in Medicine, 2022, 24, 61-74.	1.1	7
51	Maternity health care professionals' views and experiences of fetal genomic uncertainty: A review. Prenatal Diagnosis, 2020, 40, 652-660.	1.1	6
52	Living with osteogenesis imperfecta: A qualitative study exploring experiences and psychosocial impact from the perspective of patients, parents and professionals. Disability and Health Journal, 2022, 15, 101168.	1.6	6
53	â€œThe communication and support from the health professional is incredibly importantâ€: A qualitative study exploring the processes and practices that support parental decisionâ€making about postmortem examination. Prenatal Diagnosis, 2019, 39, 1242-1253.	1.1	5
54	Factors that impact on women's decisionâ€making around prenatal genomic tests: An international discrete choice survey. Prenatal Diagnosis, 2022, 42, 934-946.	1.1	5

#	Article	IF	Citations
55	Development of a measure of genome sequencing knowledge for young people: The kidsâ€KOGS. Clinical Genetics, 2019, 96, 411-417.	1.0	4
56	Assessing womenâ€™s preferences towards tests that may reveal uncertain results from prenatal genomic testing: Development of attributes for a discrete choice experiment, using a mixed-methods design. PLoS ONE, 2022, 17, e0261898.	1.1	4
57	EuroGentest patient information leaflets: a free resource available in over 20 languages. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2009, 17, 732-732.	1.4	3
58	Development of an Evidenceâ€Based Information Booklet to Support Parents of Children Without a Diagnosis. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2012, 21, 854-861.	0.9	3
59	Non-invasive prenatal testing for Down's syndromeâ€”Where are we now?. British Journal of Midwifery, 2014, 22, 85-93.	0.1	3
60	Evaluation of patient information leaflets for non-invasive prenatal testing for Down's syndrome. British Journal of Midwifery, 2017, 25, 585-592.	0.1	2
61	Animation or leaflet: Does it make a difference when educating young people about genome sequencing?. Patient Education and Counseling, 2021, 104, 2522-2530.	1.0	2
62	A Citizen Science Approach to Identifying Indoor Environmental Barriers to Optimal Health for under 5s Experiencing Homelessness in Temporary Accommodation. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2022, 19, 3976.	1.2	2
63	920â€…Identifying housing-level barriers to optimal health for Under5s experiencing homelessness: a citizen science approach. , 2021, , .		1
64	Mixed-methods evaluation of the NHS Genomic Medicine Service for paediatric rare diseases: study protocol. NIHR Open Research, 0, 1, 23.	0.0	1
65	56â€…Parent and health professional experiences and views of genome sequencing for rapid diagnosis in critically ill children. , 2019, , .		0
66	Dealing with uncertainty in prenatal genomics. , 2022, , 69-81.		0